

CRITERIA FOR REVIEW OF MINI-GRANT PROPOSALS

Project Title: _____

Reviewer: _____

Criterion	E	S	N
Relationship of project to specific department assessment plans/needs			
Potential to support development with respect to student learning, program quality, and/or department/program assessment planning			
Clarity of purpose and intended outcomes			
Feasibility and specificity of the plan of action and related timelines			
Adequacy and defensibility of the budget related to project goals			
Articulation of a clearly defined strategy for disseminating and using project outcomes			

Excellent:

Well-developed plan, clearly and thoughtfully presented, capable of immediate and successful implementation.

Satisfactory:

Details and justifications/explanations are sufficient to show direction and potential for productive action, capable of being implemented with a minimum of fine-tuning or additional planning.

Needs Work:

Missing some information, justifications/explanations not clearly presented or inadequate, implementation would require further clarification or development before action could be taken.

Comments: