
Contents

<i>Preface</i>	viii
<i>Acknowledgements</i>	xii
1 The seven pillars of assessment	1
<i>Why assess?</i>	1
<i>How to assess?</i>	4
<i>What to assess?</i>	8
<i>When to assess?</i>	22
<i>Who does the assessing?</i>	27
<i>How well do we assess?</i>	28
<i>Whither? What do we do or where do we go next?</i>	31
2 What's wrong with traditional assessment?	32
<i>Limitations of assessment as measurement</i>	32
<i>Reliability and bias in teacher and examiner marking</i>	34
<i>Negative side effects of traditional assessment</i>	36
<i>The relationship between traditional assessment and academic dishonesty</i>	40
<i>The role of the Internet in facilitating and detecting cheating</i>	52
<i>Advice to practitioners: strategies for preventing cheating</i>	54
<i>Summary</i>	57
<i>Appendix: Internet resources to help combat plagiarism</i>	58
3 Changing definitions of assessment	59
<i>Assessment as measurement</i>	60
<i>Assessment as procedure</i>	60
<i>Assessment as enquiry</i>	62
<i>Assessment and accountability</i>	62
<i>Assessment as quality control</i>	63

<i>A synthesis of views</i>	64
<i>Problems associated with the four paradigms</i>	67
<i>Variations within the category of assessment as enquiry</i>	68
<i>Key differences between traditional and alternative assessments</i>	80
4 Why do teachers involve students in assessment?	83
<i>Themes from the 1950s to 1980s</i>	83
<i>The 1990s: benefits and pressures</i>	85
<i>The early 2000s: the rise of the machines?</i>	101
<i>Overview</i>	107
<i>Summary: benefits of involving students in assessment</i>	112
5 How may students be involved in assessment?	117
<i>Peer assessment</i>	117
<i>Self-assessment</i>	120
<i>Collaborative assessment</i>	125
<i>What is the level of student involvement?</i>	127
<i>What do students assess?</i>	139
<i>How are assessments carried out?</i>	141
<i>Recommendations to help combat bias in alternative assessments</i>	147
<i>Conclusion</i>	149
6 Practical peer assessment and feedback: problems and solutions	151
<i>Frequently asked questions</i>	151
<i>Peer feedback marking</i>	167
<i>Final comment</i>	176
7 How well are students able to judge their own work?	177
<i>A qualitative review of self-assessment studies</i>	178
<i>A meta-analysis of student self-assessment studies</i>	180
<i>Recent self-assessment studies</i>	183
<i>Conclusion</i>	189
8 How reliable or valid are student peer assessments?	190
<i>A meta-analysis of peer assessment studies</i>	190
<i>Results</i>	193

<i>Recommendations to practitioners for implementing peer assessment</i>	198
<i>Future work in this area</i>	198
9 Assessment of groups by peers	200
<i>A survey of group peer assessment studies</i>	201
<i>Problems of peer assessment in groups</i>	203
<i>Strategies for designing and marking group assignments</i>	209
<i>Preparing for peer assessment in groups</i>	211
<i>Summary: recommendations for overcoming problems associated with peer assessment in groups</i>	218
10 Computer Assisted Assessment (CAA) and student involvement	222
<i>What can be achieved by the use of CAA?</i>	222
<i>CAA and assessment of higher order skills</i>	225
<i>Functions of CAA</i>	228
<i>Summary: advice to practitioners</i>	241
11 Past, present and futures	245
<i>References</i>	256
<i>Author index</i>	281
<i>Subject index</i>	287