

CONTENTS

Acknowledgments xiii

Preface xvii

1	DEVELOPING A COLLECTIVE INSTITUTIONAL COMMITMENT	1
	Overview	1
	A Culture of Inquiry	1
	Dialogue about Teaching and Learning across the Institution	3
	Anatomy of the Collaborative Process	3
	A Shared Commitment: Roles and Responsibilities	6
	<i>Presidents, Chancellors, and System Heads</i>	6
	<i>Boards of Trustees</i>	6
	<i>Campus Leaders</i>	7
	<i>Faculty, Administrators, Staff, and Other Contributors to Student Learning, Including Students</i>	7
	A Collaborative Beginning: Principles of Commitment	8
	Anchors for Developing Institutional Principles of Commitment	8
	<i>The Science of Learning</i>	8
	<i>The Scholarship of Teaching and Learning</i>	8
	<i>Disciplinary and Professional Organizations' Focus on Student Learning</i>	9
	<i>Institutional Focus on Learning-Centeredness</i>	10
	<i>Institutional Focus on Organizational Learning</i>	11
	<i>Accountability</i>	12
	Meaningful Beginnings	13
	<i>Box 1.1 Institutional Example: University of Portland</i>	14
	Higher Education's Ownership	14
	Works Cited	15
	Additional Resources	16
	Worksheets, Guides, and Exercises	22
	<i>Box 1.2 Institutional Example: North Carolina State University</i>	27
	<i>Box 1.3 Institutional Example: Rochester Community and Technical College</i>	27

2	BEGINNING WITH DIALOGUE ABOUT TEACHING AND LEARNING	31
	Overview	31
	The Continuum of Learning: Beyond an Aggregation of Courses, Credits, and Seat Time	31
	A Focus on Integration	32
	Coordinating Committees	33
	<i>A Campus-Wide Assessment Committee</i>	33
	<i>Program-Level Assessment Committees</i>	34
	Dialogue Focused on Expectations for Student Learning	35
	Dialogue Focused on Verifying Expectations	36
	Maps and Inventories	37
	<i>Maps</i>	37
	<i>Box 2.1 Institutional Example: New Jersey City University</i>	38
	<i>Box 2.2 Institutional Example: Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology</i>	38
	<i>Inventories</i>	38
	<i>Figure 2.3 Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology Curriculum Map</i>	39
	The Design of Our Work	40
	Works Cited	40
	Additional Resources	41
	Worksheets, Guides, and Exercises	44
	Appendix 2.1 Business Administration Program's Curriculum Map	52
 3	 MAKING CLAIMS ABOUT STUDENT LEARNING WITHIN CONTEXTS FOR LEARNING	 59
	Overview	59
	Learning Outcome Statements	60
	<i>Characteristics of Institution- and Program-Level Learning Outcome Statements</i>	60
	<i>Box 3.1 Institutional Example: California State University Monterey Bay</i>	60
	<i>The Difference between Program or Institutional Objectives</i> <i>and Learning Outcomes or Learning Objectives</i>	61
	<i>Box 3.2 Institutional Example: University of Washington</i>	61
	Levels of Learning Outcome Statements	62
	Taxonomies	63
	Collaboration to Develop and Review Outcome Statements	63
	Strategies for Developing Outcome Statements	64
	<i>Strategy 1: Mission Statements</i>	64
	<i>Strategy 2: Professional Organizations</i>	64

Box 3.3 <i>Institutional Example: Stonehill College</i>	64
<i>Strategy 3: Student Work</i>	65
<i>Strategy 4: An Epistemological and Ethnographic Process</i>	66
<i>Strategy 5: Deep and Surface Approaches to Learning</i>	67
Community Consensus about Learning Outcome Statements	67
Situating Students to Take Responsibility	68
Works Cited	68
Additional Resources	69
Worksheets, Guides, and Exercises	71
Appendix 3.1 <i>Institutional Example: University of Washington</i>	77
Appendix 3.2 <i>Institutional Example: North Carolina State University</i>	79
Appendix 3.3 Example of Leveled Outcome Statements	81

4 IDENTIFYING OR DESIGNING TASKS TO ASSESS THE DIMENSIONS OF LEARNING

85

Overview	85
The Range of Texts that Demonstrate or Represent Learning	85
Multiple Methods of Assessment	86
Box 4.1 <i>Institutional Example: Stanford University, California</i>	87
Box 4.2 <i>Institutional Example: University of Michigan</i>	88
Box 4.3 <i>Institutional Example: North Carolina State University</i>	88
Direct and Indirect Methods of Assessment	88
Methods along the Continuum of Learning: Formative and Summative	89
Box 4.4 <i>Institutional Example: Alverno College</i>	89
Positions of Inquiry	90
Issues of Alignment: Outcomes, Inferences, and Students' Learning Histories	90
Box 4.5 <i>Institutional Example: Marian College</i>	91
Box 4.6 <i>Institutional Example: Keystone College</i>	91
Properties of a Method: Validity and Reliability	92
<i>Validity</i>	93
<i>Reliability</i>	93
<i>Standardized Tests</i>	93
<i>Designed Methods</i>	94
Box 4.7 <i>Institutional Example: Alverno College</i>	95
Works Cited	97
Additional Resources	98
Worksheets, Guides, and Exercises	104

Box 4.8 Institutional Example: Academic Librarians and Faculty	108
Box 4.9 Institutional Example: Student Affairs and Academic Affairs	110
Appendix 4.1 Strategies for Reviewing and Selecting Standardized Instruments	113
Appendix 4.2 Inventory of Direct and Indirect Assessment Methods	114
5 REACHING CONSENSUS ABOUT CRITERIA AND STANDARDS OF JUDGMENT	119
Overview	119
Interpretations of Student Achievement	120
<i>Norm Referencing</i>	120
<i>Criterion Referencing</i>	120
Scoring Rubrics	121
Box 5.1 Institutional Example: Azusa Pacific University	122
<i>Analytic and Holistic Rubrics</i>	123
Strategies to Develop Scoring Rubrics	124
Box 5.2 Institutional Example: North Carolina State University	125
<i>Development of Scoring Rubrics</i>	125
Strategies to Assure Interrater Reliability	126
Threaded Opportunities for Institutional and Student Learning	127
Works Cited	128
Additional Resources	128
Worksheets, Guides, and Exercises	129
Appendix 5.1 Institutional Example: New Jersey City University	135
Appendix 5.2 Institutional Example: New Jersey City University	138
Appendix 5.3 Institutional Example: Rocky Mountain College of Art & Design	144
Appendix 5.4 Institutional Example: Hampden-Sydney College, <i>Department of Psychology</i>	147
6 DESIGNING A CYCLE OF INQUIRY	153
Overview	153
A Design for Institutional Learning	153
Methods of Sampling	154
<i>Some Key Institutional Contributors</i>	154
<i>Sampling</i>	155
Box 6.1 Institutional Example: Florida Community College at Jacksonville	155
Times and Contexts for Collecting Evidence	157
Scoring	158

Box 6.2 <i>Institutional Example: University of South Florida</i>	159
Analysis and Presentation of Results	160
Collective Interpretation of Analyzed Results	160
A Narrated Cycle	161
Box 6.3 <i>Institutional Example: Mesa Community College</i>	161
Beyond a Cycle	163
Box 6.4 <i>Institutional Example: Implementation of the Assessment Process at Providence College, 1999–2005</i>	164
Box 6.5 <i>Institutional Example: Providence College</i>	164
Works Cited	165
Additional Resources	165
Worksheets, Guides, and Exercises	167

7	BUILDING A CORE INSTITUTIONAL PROCESS OF INQUIRY OVER TIME	171
	Overview	171
	A View of the Whole	171
	Some Representative Structures, Processes, Decisions, and Channels and Forms of Communication	172
	<i>Some Representative Structures</i>	172
	Box 7.1 <i>Institutional Example: Portland State University</i>	173
	Box 7.2 <i>Institutional Example: United States Naval Academy</i>	175
	<i>Offices of Institutional Research and Planning</i>	175
	Box 7.3 <i>Institutional Example: Rose-Hulman Institute of Technology</i>	176
	<i>Processes and Decisions</i>	176
	<i>Channels and Forms of Communication</i>	176
	Resources and Support: Human, Financial, and Technological	177
	Box 7.4 <i>Institutional Example: Indiana University–Purdue University Indianapolis</i>	178
	Campus Practices that Manifest an Institutional Commitment	181
	Signs of Maturation	181
	Box 7.5 <i>Institutional Example: University of Wisconsin–River Falls</i>	182
	Works Cited	183
	Additional Resources	183
	Worksheets, Guides, and Exercises	185
	Appendix 7.1 <i>Institutional Example: Guam Community College's Institutional Commitment to Assessment: An Evolving Story of Dialogue and Ritual</i>	190
	Appendix 7.2 Consent Form	196
	Index	199